

Poincaré Recurrence Theorem on IF Events

Beloslav Riečan

Faculty of Natural Sciences, Matej Bel University

Department of Mathematics

Tajovského 40

SK-974 01 Banská Bystrica, Slovakia

and

Mathematical Institute of Slovak Academy of Sciences

Štefánikova 49

SK-81473 Bratislava

E-mail:

riecan@fpv.umb.sk

Abstract: *The classical Poincaré weak recurrence theorem states that for any probability space (Ω, \mathcal{S}, P) , any P -measure preserving transformation T , and any $A \in \mathcal{S}$, almost all points of A return to A . In the present paper the Poincaré theorem is proved when the σ -algebra \mathcal{S} is substituted by a family \mathcal{F} of all measurable IF subsets of Ω .*

Keywords: *Poincaré recurrence theorem, Probability space, Intuitionistic fuzzy set.*

1. Introduction

Let (Ω, \mathcal{S}, P) be a probability space, i.e. $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, \mathcal{S} is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω (i.e. $\Omega \in \mathcal{S}$; $A \in \mathcal{S} \implies \Omega \setminus A \in \mathcal{S}$, and $A_n \in \mathcal{S} (n = 1, 2, \dots) \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{S}$), and

$P : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is such that $P(\Omega) = 1$, and $P(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n)$, whenever

$A_n \in \mathcal{S} (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ and $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset (n \neq m)$. Let $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be such that

$A \in \mathcal{S}$ implies $T^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{S}$, and

$$P(T^{-1}(A)) = P(A),$$

whenever $A \in \mathcal{S}$; such transformations T are called measure - preserving. The Poincaré recurrence theorem states that almost every point $x \in A$ will return to A , i.e.

$$P(A \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-1}(A_n)) = 0,$$

whenever $A \in \mathcal{S}$.

2. IF-events

Consider again the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{S}, P) , and a measure preserving mapping T , of course, instead of the σ -algebra \mathcal{S} the family of all IF-events will be studied. An IF event is a pair

$$A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$$

of \mathcal{S} -measurable mappings $\mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$\mu_A(\omega) + \nu_A(\omega) \leq 1$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega$. The function μ_A is called the membership function of A , the function ν_A is called the nonmembership function of A . Denote by \mathcal{F} the family of all IF-events, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F} = \{A = (\mu_A, \nu_A); \mu_A, \nu_A : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1], \mu_A + \nu_A \leq 1, \mu_A, \nu_A \text{ are } \mathcal{S}\text{-measurable}\}$$

On the set \mathcal{F} a partial ordering is usually defined by the equivalence

$$A = (\mu_A, \nu_A) \leq B = (\mu_B, \nu_B) \iff \mu_A \leq \mu_B, \nu_B \leq \nu_A.$$

Evidently, the element $(0_\Omega, 1_\Omega)$ is the least element of \mathcal{F} , the element $(1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$ is the greatest element of \mathcal{F} . We shall consider two binary operations on the family \mathcal{F} , so-called Lukasiewicz operations:

$$A \oplus B = ((\mu_A + \mu_B) \wedge 1, (\nu_A + \nu_B - 1) \vee 0),$$

and

$$A \odot B = ((\mu_A + \mu_B - 1) \vee 0, (\nu_A + \nu_B) \wedge 1).$$

Recall that corresponding to the structure, the following operation $+$ can be defined on the family of all pairs $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$ of functions from Ω to \mathbf{R} :

$$A + B = (\mu_A + \mu_B, 1 - (1 - \nu_A + 1 - \nu_B)) = (\mu_A + \mu_B, \nu_A + \nu_B - 1).$$

With respect to the operation $+$ the following equality holds:

$$A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n = (\mu_{A_1} + \mu_{A_2} + \dots + \mu_{A_n}, \nu_{A_1} + \nu_{A_2} + \dots + \nu_{A_n} - (n - 1)).$$

Analogously as in quantum structures (see [2]), instead of a probability, a state $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ can be defined.

2.1. Definition. A state on \mathcal{F} is a mapping $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $m((0, 1)) = 1$;

(ii) m is additive, i.e.

$$A \odot B = (0_\Omega, 1_\Omega) \implies m(A \oplus B) = m(A) + m(B);$$

(iii) m is continuous, i.e.

$$A_n \nearrow A \implies m(A_n) \nearrow m(A).$$

2.2. Proposition. Any state $m : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is strongly additive, i.e. it satisfies the following stronger condition:

(ii') for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds the implication

$$A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n \leq (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) \implies m(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i).$$

P r o o f: It can be proved by induction.

The following proposition is evident.

1.3. Proposition. Any mapping $m : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a state if and only if it satisfies the following conditions

(i) $m((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)) = 1$;

(ii) if (A_n) is a sequence of elements of M such that $A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n \leq (1_\Omega, 0_\Omega)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$m\left(\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(A_i).$$

3. Poincaré recurrence theorem

3.1. Definition. Let $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be measure preserving mapping, i.e.

$$A \in \mathcal{S} \implies T^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{S}, P(T^{-1}(A)) = P(A).$$

Then we define a mapping $\tau : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ by the equality

$$\tau(A) = (\mu_A \circ T, \nu_A \circ T).$$

3.2. Definition. $A \setminus B = A \odot ((1_\Omega, 0_\Omega) - B) = ((\mu_A - \mu_B) \vee 0, (\nu_A - \nu_B + 1) \wedge 1).$

The following proposition is evident:

3.3. Proposition. $\tau(A \setminus B) = \tau(A) \setminus \tau(B), m(\tau(A)) = m(A).$

3.4. Theorem. Let $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a strongly additive state. Then for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$ there holds

$$m\left(A \setminus \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau^i(A)\right) = 0.$$

Proof: Put $B_j = \bigvee_{i=j}^{\infty} \tau^i(A)$, $B = A \setminus \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau^i(A) = (A - \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau^i(A)) \vee (0_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega}) = (A - B_1) \vee (0_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega})$. Then

$$\tau^n(B) = (\tau^n(A) - \bigvee_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \tau^i(A)) \vee 0 = (\tau^n(A) - B_{n+1}) \vee (0_{\Omega}, 1_{\Omega}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & B + \tau(B) + \tau^2(B) + \dots + \tau^n(B) = \\ &= (A - B_1) \vee 0 + (\tau(A) - B_2) \vee 0 + (\tau^2(A) - B_3) \vee 0 + \dots + (\tau^n(A) - B_{n+1}) \vee 0 \leq \\ & \leq \bigvee_{j=1}^{n+1} \bigvee_{i=j+1}^{n+1} (\tau^j(A) - B_i) \vee 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(\tau^i(A) - B_i) \vee (0, 1) \leq (\tau^i(A)) \leq (1, 0),$$

we obtain

$$B + \tau(B) + \tau^2(B) + \dots + \tau^n(B) \leq (1, 0).$$

By the strong additivity

$$m\left(\bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau^i(B)\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m(\tau^i(B)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(B),$$

hence

$$m(B) = 0.$$

Acknowledgements: The paper was supported by grant VEGA 1/2002/05 and grant APVV LPP-0046-06.

References

1. A t a n a s s o v, K. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications. New York, Physica-Verlag, 1999.
2. D v u r e č e n s k i j, A., S. P u l m a n n o v á. New Trends in Quantum Structures. Dordrecht, Kluwer, 2000.
3. M a l i č k ý, P. Category Version of the Poincaré Recurrence Theorem. – Topology and Its Applications, **154**, 2007, 2709-2713.
5. N a d k a r n i, M. G. Basic Ergodic Theory. Basel, Birkhauser Verlag, 1998.
6. P o i n c a r é, H. Les Methodes Nouvelles de la Mecanique Classique Celeste. Vol. **3**. Paris, Gauthiers-Villars, 1899.
7. R i e č a n, B. A note on the Poincaré recurrence theorem on Boolean rings. – Mat.-fyz. čas. **15**, 1965, 13-22 (in Russian).
8. R i e č a n, B. Strong Poincaré Recurrence Theorem in MV-Algebras. Math. Slovaca, (in Press).